

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,296		11/08/2001	Nikiforos Kollias	J&J-2067	5320
27777	7590	12/02/2003		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S.		:	GRAY, DAVID M		
JOHNSON ONE JOHN		SON OHNSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003				2851	
				DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/007,296	KOLLIAS ET AL.				
i tation, riota	Examin r	Art Unit				
	David M Gray	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \(\sumsymbol{\substack}\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
	. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		David M Gray Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2851				

€offtinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 10/007,296

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art as discussed in the Office action filed August 4, 2003 discloses the invention claimed here. As to applicant's argument with respect to the range of about 35 to about 55 degrees, applicant's specification does not disclose a criticality of that range. Therefore, the range of about 35 to about 55 degrees includes any angle in the range of 0 to 180 degrees. It is further noted that the term "about" as used here is appropriate when the specification discloses the criticality of the range. See Pall Corp. v. Mircron Separations, Inc., 66 F.3d 1211, 1218 (Fed. Cir. 1995). Therefore, applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Anno Gales
RUSSELL ADAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000